

*SM*  
**RECEIVED**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

JUN 03 2015  
6-3-15  
THOMAS G. BRUTON  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

PAUL D. TAYLOR

(Enter above the full name  
of the plaintiff or plaintiffs in  
this action)

vs.

Case

(To

15cv4910  
Judge Robert W. Gettleman  
Magistrate Judge Jeffrey Cole  
PC7

JUSTICE POLICE OFFICER(S);  
et, al., DEFENDANT(S);

(Enter above the full name of ALL  
defendants in this action. Do not  
use "et al.")

**CHECK ONE ONLY:**



**COMPLAINT UNDER THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, TITLE 42 SECTION 1983  
U.S. Code (state, county, or municipal defendants)**

**COMPLAINT UNDER THE CONSTITUTION ("BIVENS" ACTION), TITLE  
28 SECTION 1331 U.S. Code (federal defendants)**

**OTHER (cite statute, if known)**

**BEFORE FILLING OUT THIS COMPLAINT, PLEASE REFER TO "INSTRUCTIONS FOR  
FILING." FOLLOW THESE INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY.**

I. Plaintiff(s):

A. Name: PAUL DONNELL TAYLOR;  
B. List all aliases: NONE  
C. Prisoner identification number: N72159/Now 20140724284  
D. Place of present confinement: COOK COUNTY DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS  
E. Address: 2700 So California, Ave, Chicago, ILL 60608

(If there is more than one plaintiff, then each plaintiff must list his or her name, aliases, I.D. number, place of confinement, and current address according to the above format on a separate sheet of paper.)

II. Defendant(s):

(In A below, place the full name of the first defendant in the first blank, his or her official position in the second blank, and his or her place of employment in the third blank. Space for two additional defendants is provided in B and C.)

A. Defendant: MR. MURPHY  
Title: JUSTICE POLICE OFFICER # 223  
Place of Employment: JUSTICE POLICE DEPARTMENT

B. Defendant: JOHN DOW # 1  
Title: POLICE OFFICER  
Place of Employment: JUSTICE POLICE DEPARTMENT

C. Defendant: JOHN DOW # 2  
Title: SERGEANT OF JUSTICE POLICE DEPARTMENT  
Place of Employment: JUSTICE POLICE DEPARTMENT

(If you have more than three defendants, then all additional defendants must be listed according to the above format on a separate sheet of paper.)

III. List ALL lawsuits you (and your co-plaintiffs, if any) have filed in any state or federal court in the United States:

A. Name of case and docket number: 14C 7574 EDWIN BURNETT et al.,  
14C 10517 TAYLER VS CHRISTOPH STRACK #4728  
DECEMBER 30 or 31st 2014

B. Approximate date of filing lawsuit: SEPTEMBER 26th 2014

C. List all plaintiffs (if you had co-plaintiffs), including any aliases: PAUL DONNELL TAYLER, PAUL DONNELL TAYLER,  
PAUL DONNELL TAYLER, PAUL DONNELL TAYLER

D. List all defendants: MRS. BRETT MORRAN BALMOR, VICTOR EBBING,  
MR. BARRINGTON BAKER, MRS. CANDACE COLLINS, ME, Joseph  
Simbura, Mrs. CRISTAL CARBELLAS, Christ Berke, Mike Morrissey,  
NEBBIE WHITE, CRISTAL KELLY, 40517 STABK et al, DANIA MURPHY,  
15C3728 COOK COUNTY SHERIFFS, CHUBBS, BOTO, ZACCONE, KASPERSKI,

E. Court in which the lawsuit was filed (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county): Dismissed for NOT Basin, Main CoeC/Alm,

F. Name of judge to whom case was assigned: THE HONORABLE JAMES ZAGEL  
14C 7574 HONORABLE JUDGE SMITH Note 14C10517

G. Basic claim made: 14C 7574 Civil Conspiracy / 14CIV 10517  
NEGLIGENCE IN CUSTODY; COOK COUNTY SHERIFFS 15C3728

H. Disposition of this case (for example: Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?): 14C10517 MARCH 2, 2015  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION / MOTION: LEAVE  
AMENDED DISMISSAL, APRIL 21st or 7th ? 14C 7574

I. Approximate date of disposition: MARCH 2nd, 2015 14C 10517  
MAY 21st 2015 MOTION: LEAVE TO AMEND DISMISSAL

IF YOU HAVE FILED MORE THAN ONE LAWSUIT, THEN YOU MUST DESCRIBE THE ADDITIONAL LAWSUITS ON ANOTHER PIECE OF PAPER, USING THIS SAME FORMAT. REGARDLESS OF HOW MANY CASES YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY FILED, YOU WILL NOT BE EXCUSED FROM FILLING OUT THIS SECTION COMPLETELY, AND FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR CASE. CO-PLAINTIFFS MUST ALSO LIST ALL CASES THEY HAVE FILED.

IV. Statement of Claim: FALSE IMPRISONMENT:

State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved, including names, dates, and places. **Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes.** If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. (Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheets if necessary.)

ON July 7th 2014 Plaintiff Taylor, Paul  
DONNELL, WAS FOUND INNOCENT OF THE STATE LAW  
UNLAWFUL USE OF RECORDED SOUND /SELL.

THE PLAINTIFF SENT APPROXIMATELY TWO-2 HOURS  
IN JUSTICE POLICE STATION; WARRANT DAYS BEFORE  
CLEARING IT. THE DEFENDANT MURPHY #223.

ARREST PLAINTIFF, ON 8000 S 88th AVE JUSTICE, ILL  
CASE NO 12500274501 THIS WILL INCLUDE 1 NC-  
HEADLIGHT/TAILLIGHT /SIDE LIGHT (1 & 2) ALL  
THIS WAS NOT TRUE DISPOSITION DATE 07/07/14  
(THIS WAS IN FACT FALSE CHARGES) THE UNLAWFULLY  
DETAINED SEARCH; SEIZURE OF PROPERTY IS IN  
VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, RIGHT TO BE FREE OF UNREASONABLE  
SEARCHES; SEIZURE OF PROPERTY BY THE 4th & 14th  
DUE PROCESS OF LAW) TO THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE  
BY THE 14th AMENDMENT SECTION I TO THE UNITED  
STATES CONSTITUTION TO THE UNITED STATES CONST  
ITION OF AMERICA. MOVE OVER.

IV. Statement of Claim:

State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved, including names, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. (Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheets if necessary.)

THAT, THE DEFENDANT Murphy #223 UNKNOWN  
OFFICERS, & THE OFFICERS, WHOM APPROVED  
THE POLICE ARREST REPORT; KNOW plaintiff, was  
IN FACT INNOCENT OF ALL CHARGES OF NO  
TAILLIGHT; WHEN THE VIDEO CAMERA, PER-POLICE  
CAR, SHOWN THAT THE TAIL LIGHT HAD NOT BEEN  
OUT, BUSTED, DAMAGED, NOT WORKING. THE  
FACT THAT, THE LIGHT WAS WORKING.  
THE DEFENDANT(S); DID SHOW THAT THEY ALL  
WILLFULLY, INTENTIONALLY, MALICIOUSLY; WITH  
MALICE, INTENTION TO SADISICL, WANTON,  
TO FALSIFY AN ARREST CAUSING THE PLAINTIFF  
INJURIES: (1) A DIRECT WILLFULL DEPRIVATION OF  
plaintiff, 4th AMENDMENT RIGHTS TO THE  
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION TO THE UNITED  
- STATES CONSTITUTION OF AMERICA. THE ILLUSTRATION  
OF THE 4TH AMENDMENT THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE  
TO BE SECURE IN THEIR PERSONS, HOUSE, PAPERS,  
AND EFFECTS, AGAINST UNREASONABLE SEARCH SEIZURES,  
(SHALL NOT) BE VIOLATED; NO WARRANTS SHALL ISSUE,  
BUT UPON PROVABLE CAUSE, SUPPORT BY OATH OR -

AFFIRMATION, AND PARTICULARLY DESCRIBING  
 THE PLACE TO BE SEARCHED, AND THE PERSONS OR  
 THINGS TO BE SEIZED. THE PRISON LITIGATION  
 ACT REQUEST THAT I BRING A DUE PROCESS CLAUSE  
 VIOLATION BECAUSE I'M (A PRE-TRIAL DETAINEE) THE  
 PLAINTIFF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS WAS VIOLATE BY  
 THE 14TH AMENDMENT THAT STATES SECTION (I)  
 - ALL PERSONS BORN OR NATURALIZED IN THE UNITED  
 STATES, AND SUBJECT TO THE JURISDICTION THEREOF,  
 ARE CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES AND OF THE  
 STATE WHEREIN THEY RESIDE. NO STATE SHALL  
 MAKE OR ENFORCE ANY LAW WHICH SHALL ABRIDGE  
 THE PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES OF CITIZENS OF  
 THE UNITED STATES; NOR SHALL ANY STATE DEPRIVE  
 ANY PERSONS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, OR PROPERTY;  
 WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW; NOR DENY TO ANY  
 PERSONS WITHIN ITS JURISDICTION THE EQUAL PROTEC-  
 -TION OF THE LAWS. (THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE) THE  
 CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION THAT PROHIBITS THE GOVER-  
 -MENT FROM UNFAIRLY OR ARBITRARILY DEPRIVE A  
 PERSON LIFE, LIBERTY, OR PROPERTY. THERE ARE  
 TWO DUE PROCESS CLAUSES IN THE U.S. CONSTITUTION,  
 ONE IN THE 5TH AMENDMENT APPLYING TO THE FEDERAL  
 GOVERNMENT, AND ONE IN THE 14TH AMENDMENT  
 APPLY TO STATES ALTHOUGH THE 5TH AMENDMENT  
 (DUE PROCESS CLAUSE) ALSO APPLY TO THE STATES UNDER  
 THE INCORPORATION DOCTRINE.

THE DEFENDANTS; ALL OWE THE PLAINTIFF A DUTY.  
THEIR DUTIES, IS AS A PUBLIC SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC.  
TO BE HONESTY; SERVE & PROTECT THE RIGHTS, OF  
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATES WHEREIN. THE DEFENDENTS,  
WILLFULLY; INTENTIONALLY; PURPOSEFULLY; MALICIOUSLY,  
MALICELY, SADISTICALLY; WANTON; DEPRIVING THE  
PLAINTIFF, HIS RIGHTS BY THE 14TH AMENDMENT  
(DUE PROCESS CLAUSE) TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITU-  
TION TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF  
AMERICA. BY AND FOR, THE DISREGARDS FOR  
THEIR DUTIES, THE PLAINTIFF SUFFERED INJURIES;  
PLAINTIFF, SUFFERED HUMILIATION; EMBARRASSMENT;  
DEPRIVED LIBERTY; WITHOUT A DUE PROCESS OF LAW.  
PROPERTY AT A VALUE OF NO MORE THAN 10,000  
THOUSAND DOLLARS, NO LESS THAN 7,500 SEVEN THOUSAND  
FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS; IN CDS COLLECTIONS. THE PLAINTIFF  
SEEKS; 15,000 FOR HIS COLLECTIONS NEVER RECOVERED BACK  
A SUM OF 15,000 FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS, IN  
COMPENSATORY DAMAGES / THAT, THE HONORABLE  
COURT HAS JURISDICTION AND VENUE FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT EASTERN DIVISION TO ALL MATTERS  
PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. 1983 FOR, MY BRINGING  
REMEDY VIOLATIONS OF MY (DUE PROCESS CLAUSE) BY  
THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES  
CONSTITUTION UNDER COLOR OF LAW; THIS HONORABLE COURT  
ALSO HAS JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO -

28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 AND 1343(a)(3) AND PURSUANT  
TO SUPPLEMENT JURISDICTION OVER PROVIDED  
28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) IN THAT THE EVENTS GIVING TO  
CLAIMS HEREIN OCCURRED IN THE NORTH DISTRICT OF  
ILLINOIS AS DIRECT AND APPROXIMATELY RESULT OF  
ACTION OR INACTION OF OFFICERS OF THE LAW.  
THE PLAINTIFF SUFFERED HARM, INCLUDING BUT  
LIMITATION TO THE RECKLESSLY; DISREGARDS FOR  
PLAINTIFF 14th AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, LIBERTY  
INTEREST WITHOUT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, PROPERTY, TO THE  
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF AMERICA. DEFENDANTS,  
ACTIONS WERE TAKEN MALICIOUSLY; INTENTIONALLY;  
OR WITH GROSS NEGLIGENCE, AND RECKLESSLY, WANTON,  
THEIRBY JUSTIFYING AN AWARD OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES;  
IN A SUM OF A FAIRNESS AND REASONABLE AMOUNT  
100,000 THOUSAND DOLLARS, ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND  
DOLLARS; FOR DAYS SPENT IN A HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT  
COOK COUNTY FOR APPROXIMATELY ONE MONTH; AND  
THE FALSE ARREST, DEFAMATION OF MY CHARACTER,  
(DECLARATORY JUDGMENT) STATING THAT MY 14th AMEND-  
MENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE WAS IN FACT VIOLATED, AND  
THE RESIGNATION OF ALL PARTIES / DEFENDANTS.  
THEREFORE IT IS SO ORDERED THAT; THE PLAINTIFF  
RESTORE HIS RIGHTS AND EXONORATE / VACATE;  
EXONERATION OF THE # MI 25002745 BY AUTHOR  
-IZED RECORDING AND / SOUNDS OFF HIS CRIMINAL RECORD

V. Relief:

State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or statutes.

A) FOR THE PUNITIVE ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY 100,000 DOLLARS ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES / COMPENSATORY DAMAGES FOR THE HUMILIATION, EMBARRASSMENT, DEPRIVATION OF DUE PROCESS, LIBERTY INTEREST, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW. AND DAYS CALCULATED BY WARRANT, THE REGISTRATION OF THE PARTIES. DEFENDANTS TOOK OVER 500 - DVD'S ESTIMATE VALUE OF 5 DOLLARS APIECE MURPHY #223 PRESTON #9686

VI. The plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury.  YES  NO

B) PLAINTIFF REQUEST RESPECTFULL A SUM ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY FOR THE CERTIFICATION ACTIONS THAT CONSTITUTE'S PUNITIVE.

RESPECTFULLY THE  
PLAINTIFF REQUEST A SUM  
100,000 THOUSAND DOLLARS  
JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY  
FOR THE ACTIONS OF  
THE DEFENDANTS THAT  
CONSTITUTE'S PUNITIVE  
THE PLAINTIFF REQUEST  
PUNITIVE DAMAGES.

By signing this Complaint, I certify that the facts stated in this Complaint are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that if this certification is not correct, I may be subject to sanctions by the Court.

Signed this APRIL day of 28, 2015  
PAUL DONNELL TAYLOR  
Paul D. Taylor  
(Signature of plaintiff or plaintiffs)

(Print name)

2040724284  
(I.D. Number)

COOK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
2700 SO CALIFORNIA AVE, DIVISION I-D  
CHICAGO, IL 60608  
(Address)